PA DEP TENORM Study Report – Appendix I	Rev. 1
APPENDIX I	
FILTERED VERSUS UNFILTERED LIQUID SAMPLE COMPARISON	

## EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF LABORATORY FILTERING ON SAMPLE ACTIVITY

Influent and effluent samples collected from CWT, ZLD, POTW-I wastewater treatment facilities, and produced water from well sites were analyzed both pre- and post-filtering (samples are hereafter referred to as "unfiltered," and "filtered," respectively). The filtering was only performed in the laboratory, and no samples were filtered prior to reaching the laboratory. Each pair of unfiltered/filtered results were then compared and evaluated to determine what effects, if any, filtering may have had on the activity. The following hierarchy was used to break down this comparison study:

- Facility type (CWT, ZLD, POTW-I, and Production),
- Sample type (influent or effluent; note that produced water only had one sample type), and
- Target analyte/nuclide (including: gross  $\alpha$ , gross  $\beta$ , Ra-226, and Ra-228).

This hierarchy yielded 27 unique target analyte groups in total. Each of the 27 groups were evaluated by considering the following metrics:

- Only detected data were considered for this evaluation. If one or both of the unfiltered/filtered pair had a non-detect (result less than MDC), then that sample pair was not included in the evaluation.
- The results from each unfiltered/filtered pair were checked for consistency by evaluating whether the error bars from the pair overlapped. If the error bars did overlap, the pair was said to be "consistent"; if the error bars did not overlap, the pair was said the be "discrepant."
- Each unfiltered/filtered pair was then evaluated by performing a relative percent difference (RPD) calculation. If the RPD was less than 35 percent, the pair was thought of as having passed a duplicate test for consistency; if the results were greater than 35 percent, the pair was thought of as having failed the duplicate check, which may indicate that the results are discrepant.
- Each unfiltered/filtered pair was then evaluated by performing a duplicate error ratio (DER). If the DER was less than 1.42, the pair was thought of as having passed a duplicate test for consistency; if the results were greater than 1.42, the pair was thought of as having failed the duplicate check, which may indicate that the results are discrepant.
- Each unfiltered/filtered pair was also evaluated to determine which sample had the higher activity. A finding that either the filtered or the unfiltered results exclusively or consistently had the higher activity would allow the conclusion that filtered had some effect. A finding that there was a mix of both filtered and unfiltered results that had higher activity indicated that there was no appreciable effect that filtering had on the samples.
- Finally, for each unfiltered/filtered pair, each of the four analytes were reviewed against the above criteria to determine whether there was a sample-specific correlation of the results. For example, if gross  $\alpha$  and gross  $\beta$  were discrepant for a given sample pair, each of the remaining nuclides for that sample pair were checked to see if they were discrepant. A sample pair that was discrepant across all analytes would be considered a strong indication that filtering had some effect on that specific sample pair. If the results yielded a mix of discrepant and consistent results across all analytes, then the conclusion may be that filtering had no discernible effect.

## **Results**

**Table I-1** is generally representative of the outcome of the evaluation that was observed in all sample groups (i.e., across all facilities and analytes).

## **Discussion**

Using **Table I-1** as an example, the evaluation leading to a conclusion is as follows:

- There were nine pairs usable for the evaluation.
- Of the nine pairs of usable data, there were three pairs of results that were consistent and six pairs of results that were discrepant.
- Of the six discrepant pairs, there were four pairs in which the filtered result was higher than the unfiltered results, and two pairs in which the unfiltered result was higher than the filtered result.

The conclusion from this evaluation is that there is no apparent trend or bias that filtering produces. This same evaluation was performed for each sample group and the conclusions are presented in **Table I-2**.

The conclusions fall into the following categories:

- NC (No Conclusion) indicates that there were too few data points to make a conclusion.
- NE (No Effect) indicates that there appears to be no consistent effect that filtered had on sample results.
- UBH (Unfiltered Biased High) indicates that although there was a mix of data, there are significantly more unfiltered results that were higher than their unfiltered counterparts.
- FBH (Filtered Biased High) indicates that although there was a mix of data, there are significantly more filtered results that are higher than their unfiltered counterparts.
- UCH (Unfiltered Correlates High) indicates that there appears to be a correlation between unfiltered results and greater activity.
- FCH (Filtered Correlates High) indicates that there appears to be a correlation between filtered results and greater activity.

There was no correlation found within any sample group. For the most part, there was either not enough data to evaluate or the data appeared to be mainly consistent with a distribution of results around the consistency.

There were some indications that either the unfiltered results or the filtered results may have been biased higher. However, across different sample groups this bias was not maintained for any analyte.

The totals serve to provide a general synopsis of all the data. Sixty-seven percent of the data pairs exhibit results that are consistent between the filtered and unfiltered analyses. Of the remaining data, 20 percent of the pairs had unfiltered data that were more elevated than their

May 2016

filtered counterpart, whereas only 13 percent of the pairs contained filtered results that were higher.

Overall, the data seem to indicate that filtering may have a very small, if not negligible, effect. Considering that this effect, if it exists, resulted in a meaningful distribution of both sample activity increases and decreases, it is likely nuclide-specific and/or facility-specific.

One final analysis was performed on the data which looked at all the results for a given sample pair to determine whether the effects of filtering were consistent for a given sample across all analytes. The results of this evaluation were inconclusive at best, indicating once again the filtering may have no consistently recognizable effect.

Table I-1. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result (pCi/L)	Gross Alpha Error (pCi/L)	Gross Alpha MDC (pCi/L)	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-06-LQ-075	Unfiltered	13,700	1,800	1,420	Discrepant	35.8	1.11	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-076	Filtered	19,600	2,070	1,410	Discrepant	33.6	1.11	rinered
WT-08-LQ-085	Filtered	22,800	1,470	595	Disagrament	18.6	0.965	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-086	Unfiltered	18,900	1,420	744	Discrepant	18.0	0.903	rinered
WT-06-LQ-149	Filtered	13,300	1,820	2,020	Disagrament	69.4	2.48	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-150	Unfiltered	27,300	2,250	1040	Discrepant	09.4	2.46	Unintered
WT-08-LQ-151	Filtered	8.25	1.16	0.874	Disagrament	55.3	0.957	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-152	Unfiltered	4.68	1.51	1.95	Discrepant	33.3	0.937	rinered
WT-08-LQ-153	Filtered	22,700	2,340	1,840	Disagrament	28.1	1.67	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-154	Unfiltered	17,100	2,470	2800	Discrepant	20.1	1.07	rinered
WT-11-LQ-187	Filtered	5,520	1,490	1,830	Consistent	45.9	0.468	Filtered
WT-11-LQ-188	Unfiltered	3,460	1,680	2,510	Consistent	43.9	0.408	rinered
WT-11-LQ-221	Filtered	4,160	1,460	1,950	Consistant	7 125	0.064	E:14 d
WT-11-LQ-222	Unfiltered	3,876	1,770	2,630	Consistent	7.125	0.064	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-237	Filtered	40,700	2,780	1,270	Consistant	200	0.207	I I.a. C. 14
WT-08-LQ-238	Unfiltered	42,300	2,800	131	Consistent	3.86	0.207	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-245	Filtered	13,700	1,730	1,600	Diamonast	02.4	4.25	I I C 14 1
WT-06-LQ-246	Unfiltered	37,600	2,290	879	Discrepant	93.4	4.23	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Concentration.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

DER denotes Duplicate Error Ratio (values less than 1.42 are potentially identical).

**Table I-2. Summary of Evaluation Data** 

Sample Group		Number of Usable Pairs	Number of Pairs with consistent results	No. of Discrepant Unfiltered Higher	No. of Discrepant Filtered Higher	Conclusion
Produced Water Gro	oss Beta	6	5	1	0	NC/NE
Produced Water	Ra-226	5	5	0	0	NC/NE
Produced Water	Ra-228	6	5	0	1	NC/NE
INFLUENT POTW-I Gros	ss Alpha	2	1	1	0	NC
INFLUENT POTW-I Gro	oss Beta	3	2	0	1	NE
INFLUENT POTW-I	Ra-226	6	4	1	1	NE
INFLUENT POTW-I	Ra-228	6	3	2	1	NC/NE
EFFLUENT POTW-I Gro	oss Beta	5	4	0	1	NC/NE
EFFLUENT POTW-I	Ra-226	5	4	0	1	NE
EFFLUENT POTW-I	Ra-228	2	1	1	0	NC/NE
INFLUENT CWT Gro	ss Alpha	10	7	2	1	NE
INFLUENT CWT Gr	oss Beta	21	12	7	2	NE/UBH
INFLUENT CWT	Ra-226	22	14	5	3	NE
INFLUENT CWT	Ra-228	20	13	6	1	NE/UBH
EFFLUENT CWT Gro	ss Alpha	10	3	3	4	NE
EFFLUENT CWT Gr	oss Beta	10	7	2	1	NE
EFFLUENT CWT	Ra-226	22	18	1	3	NE/FBH
EFFLUENT CWT	Ra-228	14	11	2	1	NE/UBH
INFLUENT ZLD Gros	ss Alpha	18	10	6	2	UBH
	ross Beta	17	10	5	2	UBH
INFLUENT ZLD	Ra-226	25	17	4	4	NE
INFLUENT ZLD	Ra-228	23	17	5	1	NE/UBH
EFFLUENT ZLD Gro	ss Alpha	17	8	6	3	NE/UBH
EFFLUENT ZLD Gr	oss Beta	17	9	5	3	NE/UBH
EFFLUENT ZLD	Ra-226	20	16	1	3	NE/FBH
EFFLUENT ZLD	Ra-228	18	15	1	2	NE
Totals		330	221	67	42	

## Notes:

NC (No Conclusion) – indicates that there were too few data points to make a conclusion.

NE (No Effect) – indicates that there appears to be no consistent effect that filtered had on sample results.

UBH (Unfiltered Biased High) – indicates that although there was a mix of data, there are significantly more unfiltered results that were higher than their unfiltered counterparts.

FBH (Filtered Biased High) – indicates that although there was a mix of data, there are significantly more filtered results that are higher than their unfiltered counterparts.

UCH (Unfiltered Correlates High) – indicates that there appears to be a correlation between unfiltered results and greater activity.

FCH (Filtered Correlates High) – indicates that there appears to be a correlation between filtered results and greater activity.

Table I-3. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – Produced Water

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result	Gross Alpha Error	Gross Alpha MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WP-09-LQ-018	Filtered	8,780	1,470	1,330	Consistent	10.5	0.200	Unfiltoned
WP-09-LQ-019	Unfiltered	9,760	1,840	2,040	Consistent	10.5	0.208	Unfiltered
WP-08-LQ-020	Filtered	11,200	1,590	1,310	Constitution 1	1.12	0.025	Unfiltered
WP-08-LQ-021	Unfiltered	11,300	1,920	2,040	Consistent	1.12	0.023	Ommered
WP-21-LQ-037	Unfiltered	10,700	1,700	1,740	Consistent	C 29	0.140	Eilte and
WP-21-LQ-038	Filtered	11,400	1,630	1,450	Consistent	6.38	0.149	Filtered
WP-04-LQ-039	Unfiltered	30,000	2,600	1,860	G	0.45	0.401	F'1, 1
WP-04-LQ-040	Filtered	33,000	2,670	1,820	Consistent	9.45	0.401	Filtered
WP-12-LQ-041	Unfiltered	21,800	2,130	1,480	G. i.e.	10.0	0.626	TT CI.
WP-12-LQ-042	Filtered	18,000	2,050	1,750	Consistent	18.9	0.636	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical). DER denotes Duplicate Error Ratio (values less than 1.42 are potentially identical).

Table I-4. Gross Beta Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – Produced Water

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WP-09-LQ-018	Filtered	3,040	604	849	Consistent	16.6	0.277	Filtered
WP-09-LQ-019	Unfiltered	2,570	608	881	Consistent	16.6	0.277	riitered
WP-08-LQ-020	Filtered	4,050	641	862	Gama'atamt	21.4	0.446	F'1 1
WP-08-LQ-021	Unfiltered	3,270	629	882	Consistent	21.4	0.446	Filtered
WP-16-LQ-027	Unfiltered	1,140	627	997	Gamai'atamt	22.0	0.270	F'1 1
WP-16-LQ-028	Filtered	1,610	575	876	Consistent	33.8	0.279	Filtered
WP-21-LQ-037	Unfiltered	2,300	617	915	Gamai'atamt	27.0	0.614	F'1 1
WP-21-LQ-038	Filtered	3,370	645	904	Consistent	37.9	0.614	Filtered
WP-04-LQ-039	Unfiltered	7,600	750	898		5.67	0.100	TT C1. 1
WP-04-LQ-040	Filtered	7,180	767	946	Consistent	5.67	0.199	Unfiltered
WP-12-LQ-041	Unfiltered	6,810	750	923	D'accessed	51.0	1.42	II. C14 1
WP-12-LQ-042	Filtered	4,050	640	868	Discrepant	51.0	1.43	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-5. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – Produced Water

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WP-16-LQ-027	Unfiltered	819	167	134	Consistent	2.60	0.064	Eiltene d
WP-16-LQ-028	Filtered	849	170	129	Consistent	3.60	0.064	Filtered
WP-20-LQ-031	Unfiltered	145	44.0	57.0	Consistent	21.1	0.283	Unfiltered
WP-20-LQ-032	Filtered	106	55.0	84.0	Consistent	31.1	0.263	Ommered
WP-21-LQ-033	Unfiltered	340	59.0	68.0	Consistent	15.0	0.605	Filtered
WP-21-LQ-034	Filtered	292	53.0	62.0	Consistent	15.2	0.605	Filtered
WP-21-LQ-037	Unfiltered	6,300	113	436	Consistent	C 15	0.226	Eiltene d
WP-21-LQ-038	Filtered	6,720	941	627	Consistent	6.45	0.226	Filtered
WP-04-LQ-039	Unfiltered	26,600	3,330	764	Consistent	0.96	0.205	I I.a.C:14 4
WP-04-LQ-040	Filtered	24,100	2,750	654	Consistent	9.86	0.295	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Rev.

Table I-6. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – Produced Water

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WP-16-LQ-027	Unfiltered	896	76.0	11.0	Consistent	£ 15	0.210	Unfiltered
WP-16-LQ-028	Filtered	851	72.0	11.0	Consistent	5.15	0.219	Unintered
WP-19-LQ-029	Unfiltered	26.0	8.00	10.0	D'accessed	51.4	0.717	F'1 1
WP-19-LQ-030	Filtered	44.0	10.0	12.0	Discrepant	51.4	0.717	Filtered
WP-20-LQ-031	Unfiltered	42.0	6.00	6.00	G. m. internet	12.2	0.222	F'1 1
WP-20-LQ-032	Filtered	48.0	7.00	6.00	Consistent	13.3	0.332	Filtered
WP-21-LQ-033	Unfiltered	214	17.0	19.0	Consistent	1.00	0.17	Eilten d
WP-21-LQ-034	Filtered	210	16.0	6.00	Consistent	1.89	0.17	Filtered
WP-21-LQ-037	Unfiltered	941	110	48.0		6.26	0.022	II C1. 1
WP-21-LQ-038	Filtered	883	885	28.0	Consistent	6.36	0.033	Unfiltered
WP-04-LQ-039	Unfiltered	1,900	161	68.0	Constitution (	2.12	0.004	II. C1 1
WP-04-LQ-040	Filtered	1,860	182	55.0	Consistent	2.13	0.084	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-7. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison –POTW - I Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result	Gross Alpha Error	Gross Alpha MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-15-LQ-183	Filtered	11.0	5.13	7.61	Disaranant	182	1.84	Unfiltered
WT-15-LQ-184	Unfiltered	240	124	160	Discrepant	102	1.04	Ommered
WT-15-LQ-225	Filtered	490	180	222	Consistent	92.4	0.612	I I o Cileo no d
WT-15-LQ-226	Unfiltered	1,190	556	788	Consistent	83.4	0.612	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-8. Gross Beta Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – POTW-I Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-16-LQ-077	Filtered	6.24	1.44	2.08	Consistant	18.3	0.373	Unfiltered
WT-16-LQ-078	Unfiltered	7.50	0.941	1.19	Consistent	16.3	0.575	Ommered
WT-16-LQ-143	Filtered	11,300	1,540	1,980	D'accessed	200	2.70	F'1 1
WT-16-LQ-144	Unfiltered	9.94	1.56	2.08	Discrepant	200	3.72	Filtered
WT-16-LQ-243	Filtered	6.75	1.85	2.73	Gama's tame	25.4	0.517	II. 614 1
WT-16-LQ-244	Unfiltered	9.64	2.18	3.20	Consistent	35.4	0.517	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Rev.

Table I-9. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison –POTW-I Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-17-LQ-189	Filtered	131	41.0	60.0	Consistent	26.8	0.315	Filtered
WT-17-LQ-190	Unfiltered	100	29.0	45.0	Consistent	20.8	0.313	Filtered
WT-13-LQ-211	Filtered	58.0	26.0	39.0	Commission	40.4	0.421	II. 614 1
WT-13-LQ-212	Unfiltered	96.0	38.0	59.0	Consistent	49.4	0.421	Unfiltered
WT-14-LQ-213	Filtered	82.0	32.0	45.0	G iv	27.6	0.415	II ("1, 1
WT-14-LQ-214	Unfiltered	120	34.0	51.0	Consistent	37.6	0.415	Unfiltered
WT-15-LQ-225	Filtered	255	60.0	81.0	D'	61.0	0.605	II C1. 1
WT-15-LQ-226	Unfiltered	479	153	235	Discrepant	61.0	0.695	Unfiltered
WT-16-LQ-243	Filtered	66.0	22.0	33.0		65.0	0.006	TT 61. 1
WT-16-LQ-244	Unfiltered	131	70.0	106	Consistent	65.9	0.886	Unfiltered
WT-12-LQ-293	Filtered	100	32.0	42 .0	<b>D</b> :	110	2.56	F:1, 1
WT-12-LQ-294	Unfiltered	345	61.0	58.0	Discrepant	110	3.56	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-10. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – POTW-I Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-15-LQ-183	Filtered	103	28.0	36.0	Diagrament	72.0	1.72	Eilten d
WT-15-LQ-184	Unfiltered	48.0	15.0	17.0	Discrepant	72.8	1.73	Filtered
WT-17-LQ-189	Filtered	12.0	4.00	6.00	Consistent	15 /	0.100	I I o Cileo no d
WT-17-LQ-190	Unfiltered	14.0	4.00	6.00	Consistent	15.4	0.180	Unfiltered
WT-14-LQ-213	Filtered	10.0	4.00	5.00	Consistent	10.5	0.000	Eilten d
WT-14-LQ-214	Unfiltered	9.00	5.00	8.00	Consistent	10.5	0.080	Filtered
WT-17-LQ-219	Filtered	20.0	7.00	10.0	Consistent	0.000	0.000	I I a Cileana d
WT-17-LQ-220	Unfiltered	20.0	7.00	10.0	Consistent	0.000	0.000	Unfiltered
WT-15-LQ-225	Filtered	91.0	13.0	10.0	D'account	05.5	2.01	II. C14 1
WT-15-LQ-226	Unfiltered	227	32.0	31.0	Discrepant	85.5	2.01	Unfiltered
WT-16-LQ-243	Filtered	5.00	3.00	4.00	Discourant	157	2.01	I I., C.14 4
WT-16-LQ-244	Unfiltered	41.0	12.0	16.0	Discrepant	157	2.91	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-11. Gross Beta Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – POTW-I Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-16-LQ-079	Filtered	5.77	0.858	1.14	G	21.5	0.415	II. C1 1
WT-16-LQ-080	Unfiltered	7.16	1.48	2.10	Consistent	21.5	0.415	Unfiltered
WT-16-LQ-145	Filtered	11.3	1.89	2.64	D:	27.7	0.760	Filtered
WT-16-LQ-146	Unfiltered	7.71	1.49	2.08	Discrepant	37.7	0.760	rillered
WT-12-LQ-159	Filtered	10.6	2.79	4.08	Constitute of	2.70	0.027	I.I., C'14 1
WT-12-LQ-160	Unfiltered	10.9	2.88	4.23	Consistent	2.70	0.037	Unfiltered
WT-15-LQ-185	Filtered	8.70	1.95	2.79		0.04	0.200	TT C'1. 1
WT-15-LQ-186	Unfiltered	9.60	2.16	3.15	Consistent	9.84	0.309	Unfiltered
WT-16-LQ-241	Filtered	10.7	1.63	2.18	G	2.45	0.050	F14 1
WT-16-LQ-242	Unfiltered	10.5	1.59	2.13	Consistent	2.45	0.058	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-12. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – POTW-I Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-16-LQ-079	Filtered	101	33.0	50.0	Consistent	22.2	0.222	Filtered
WT-16-LQ-080	Unfiltered	73.0	52.0	53.0	Consistent	32.2	0.232	Filtered
WT-17-LQ-191	Filtered	144	36.0	53.0	Consistent	36.1	0.406	Filtered
WT-17-LQ-192	Unfiltered	100	42.0	65.0	Consistent	30.1	0.400	rinered
WT-17-LQ-217	Filtered	116	42.0	62.0	Consistent	20.4	0.250	I IC:14 4
WT-17-LQ-218	Unfiltered	156	70.0	107	Consistent	29.4	0.250	Unfiltered
WT-16-LQ-241	Filtered	335	59.0	54.0	Discount	102	1.65	F14 1
WT-16-LQ-242	Unfiltered	107	39.0	57.0	Discrepant	103	1.65	Filtered
WT-12-LQ-295	Filtered	77.0	43.0	67.0	Consistent	26.5	250	Eiltene d
WT-12-LQ-296	Unfiltered	59.0	26.0	40.0	Consistent	26.5	.358	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-13. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – POTW-I Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-17-LQ-217	Filtered	12.0	5.00	8.00	Disamont	07.0	0.971	Unfiltoned
WT-17-LQ-218	Unfiltered	35.0	11.0	13.0	Discrepant	97.9	0.971	Unfiltered
WT-15-LQ-223	Filtered	25.0	5.00	6.00	Consistent	7.60	0.100	I I o Cileo no d
WT-15-LQ-224	Unfiltered	27.0	8.00	9.00	Consistent	7.69	0.108	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-14. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result	Gross Alpha Error	Gross Alpha MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-06-LQ-073	Filtered	21,400	2,120	124	Compietant	10.5	0.657	E:14 d
WT-06-LQ-074	Unfiltered	17,700	1,840	1,030	Consistent	18.5	0.657	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-083	Filtered	6,100	926	796	G :	26.2	0.712	TT C'1. 1
WT-08-LQ-084	Unfiltered	8,000	947	590	Consistent	26.3	0.713	Unfiltered
WT-02-LQ-113	Filtered	2,360	886	1,040	Di .	101	7.10	YY 611. 1
WT-02-LQ-114	Unfiltered	47,100	3,050	1,240	Discrepant	181	7.19	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-117	Filtered	10,500	1,940	1,790	G :	22.2	0.056	F14 1
WT-01-LQ-118	Unfiltered	8,400	1,500	1,240	Consistent	22.2	0.856	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-147	Filtered	23,500	2,160	1,260	G :	0.42	0.221	TT C'1, 1
T-06-LQ-148	Unfiltered	25,500	2,470	1,870	Consistent	8.42	0.321	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-155	Filtered	22,200	2,550	2,630	D'	22.2	0.025	TT C'1, 1
WT-08-LQ-156	Unfiltered	27,700	2,290	1,060	Discrepant	22.2	0.825	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-239	Filtered	28,400	2,030	962	G. day	2.05	0.140	F21. 1
WT-08-LQ-240	Unfiltered	27,600	2,100	1,400	Consistent	3.05	0.149	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-247	Filtered	13,100	992	424	<b>D</b> :	50.0	2.07	T'1. 1
WT-06-LQ-248	Unfiltered	7,700	912	671	Discrepant	52.3	2.07	Filtered
WT-02-LQ-277	Filtered	3,930	1,070	1,140	G	7.20	0.005	TT C'1. 1
WT-02-LQ-278	Unfiltered	4,220	1,160	1,320	Consistent	7.20	0.095	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-283	Filtered	3,940	1,080	1,160		20.2	0.220	T'1. 1
WT-01-LQ-284	Unfiltered	2,940	1,070	1,330	Consistent	29.3	0.339	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-15. Gross Beta Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-02-LQ-019	Filtered	2,810	276	320	Diagrament	39.4	0.728	Filtered
WT-02-LQ-020	Unfiltered	1,890	588	879	Discrepant	39.4	0.728	Filtered
WT-01-LQ-025	Filtered	3,040	279	320	Diagrament	50.2	1.07	I In Cileana d
WT-01-LQ-026	Unfiltered	1,780	575	863	Discrepant	52.3	1.97	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-033	Filtered	276	115	177	Diagrament	115	3.81	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-034	Unfiltered	1,030	161	214	Discrepant	115	3.81	Unilitered
WT-06-LQ-073	Filtered	4,530	665	882	Diagrament	26.6	0.724	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-074	Unfiltered	5,920	719	901	Discrepant	26.6	0.724	Unilitered
WT-08-LQ-083	Filtered	1,570	534	811	Consistent	47.4	0.644	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-084	Unfiltered	2,550	563	803	Consistent	47.4	0.044	Offificied
WT-02-LQ-113	Filtered	1,900	596	893	Disagrament	148	5.30	Unfiltered
WT-02-LQ-114	Unfiltered	12,800	866	884	Discrepant	148	3.30	Offificied
WT-01-LQ-117	Filtered	2,970	655	937	Consistent	14.7	0.501	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-118	Unfiltered	3,440	672	940	Consistent	14.7	0.301	Offificied
WT-03-LQ-119	Filtered	99.7	123.519	202	Consistent	250	0.331	Filtered
WT-03-LQ-120	Unfiltered	-11.0	118	199	Consistent	230	0.551	rinered
WT-06-LQ-147	Filtered	5,630	657	808	Consistent	5.58	0.173	Unfiltered
T-06-LQ-148	Unfiltered	5,950	686	847	Consistent	3.38	0.175	Offificied
WT-08-LQ-155	Filtered	4,640	722	994	Discrepant	38.8	1.12	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-156	Unfiltered	6,870	721	866	Discrepant	30.0	1.12	Offificied
WT-10-LQ-163	Filtered	276	142	225	Consistent	30.3	0.261	Unfiltered
WT-10-LQ-164	Unfiltered	375	131	198	Consistent	30.3	0.201	Offificied
WT-04-LQ-167	Filtered	1,510	508	766	Consistent	28.3	0.258	Filtered
WT-04-LQ-168	Unfiltered	1,130	538	839	Consistent	20.3	0.236	rineleu
WT-09-LQ-173	Filtered	1,360	542	830	Consistent	26.7	0.218	Filtered
WT-09-LQ-174	Unfiltered	1,040	517	807	Consistent	20.7	0.218	rmered

**Table I-15. Gross Beta Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Influent** 

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-05-LQ-199	Filtered	587	281	438	G	0.762	0.006	II. C14 1
WT-05-LQ-200	Unfiltered	591	259	400	Consistent	0.762	0.006	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-203	Filtered	250	512	846	G	51.0	0.120	II. C14 1
WT-04-LQ-204	Unfiltered	421	516	842	Consistent	51.0	0.120	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-205	Filtered	-107	473	802	P:	220	0.005	II C1. 1
WT-05-LQ-206	Unfiltered	1,200	561	874	Discrepant	239	0.905	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-239	Filtered	7,820	718	831	Di .	26.0	1.16	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-240	Unfiltered	10,200	785	845	Discrepant	26.8		
WT-06-LQ-247	Filtered	4,340	382	434	P:	02.0	2.02	T2'1, 1
WT-06-LQ-248	Unfiltered	1,570	299	417	Discrepant	93.9	2.92	Filtered
WT-02-LQ-277	Filtered	2,760	629	907	G. i.e.	50.5	0.671	T2'1, 1
WT-02-LQ-278	Unfiltered	1,650	567	860	Consistent	50.5	0.671	Filtered
WT-01-LQ-283	Filtered	1,950	596	894	G. i.e.	21.2	0.220	T2'1, 1
WT-01-LQ-284	Unfiltered	1,420	558	857	Consistent	31.2	0.330	Filtered
WT-03-LQ-285	Filtered	-156	121	212	G. in	152	0.396	Unfiltered
WT-03-LQ-286	Unfiltered	-20.6	125	212	Consistent	153		

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-16. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-02-LQ-019	Filtered	1,650	199	251	Consistent	5.31	0.171	Unfiltered
WT-02-LQ-020	Unfiltered	1,740	180	207	Consistent	5.51	0.171	Unintered
WT-01-LQ-025	Filtered	1,760	338	476	Consistent	20.7	0.420	Filtered
WT-01-LQ-026	Unfiltered	1,430	181	230	Consistent	20.7	0.439	Filtered
WT-04-LQ-033	Filtered	175	70.0	111	Consistent	20.1	0.183	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-034	Unfiltered	214	83.0	130	Consistent	20.1	0.183	Unintered
WT-09-LQ-047	Filtered	469	111	165	Diagram	67.8	1.18	Unfiltered
WT-09-LQ-048	Unfiltered	950	176	249	Discrepant	07.8	1.18	Unintered
WT-05-LQ-059	Unfiltered	146	65.0	102	Diagram	97.7	0.671	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-060	Filtered	57.0	19.0	24.0	Discrepant	87.7	0.671	Unintered
WT-06-LQ-073	Filtered	12,800	1,080	916	Consistent	4.80	0.183	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-074	Unfiltered	12,200	1,280	947	Consistent	4.80	0.183	rinered
WT-08-LQ-083	Filtered	7,150	560	381	Disagrament	18.8	0.880	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-084	Unfiltered	5,920	441	247	Discrepant	16.6	0.880	rinered
WT-02-LQ-113	Filtered	1,660	177	210	Disagrament	74.5	1.58	Unfiltered
WT-02-LQ-114	Unfiltered	3,630	613	846	Discrepant	74.3	1.38	Unintered
WT-01-LQ-117	Filtered	2,810	375	477	Disagrament	154	3.29	Filtered
WT-01-LQ-118	Unfiltered	362	62.0	57.0	Discrepant	134	3.29	rinered
WT-03-LQ-119	Filtered	121	64.0	100	Disagrament	92.0	1.04	Unfiltered
WT-03-LQ-120	Unfiltered	327	78.0	105	Discrepant	92.0	1.04	Unintered
WT-06-LQ-147	Filtered	11,300	855	494	Consistent	1.79	0.087	Filtered
T-06-LQ-148	Unfiltered	11,100	798	349	Consistent	1.79	0.087	rinered
WT-08-LQ-155	Filtered	14,100	985	425	Consistent	5.09	0.244	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-156	Unfiltered	13,400	1,080	692	Consistent	3.09	0.244	rinered
WT-04-LQ-167	Filtered	445	190	300	Consistent	1.78	0.020	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-168	Unfiltered	453	70.0	98.0	Consistent	1./0	0.020	Omntered

Table I-16. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-09-LQ-173	Filtered	300	101	158	Commission	41.0	0.460	I.V., C'14 1
WT-09-LQ-174	Unfiltered	458	139	212	Consistent	41.9	0.469	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-199	Filtered	118	58.0	90.0	Discourant	100	2.06	I.V., C'14 1
WT-05-LQ-200	Unfiltered	492	72.0	96.0	Discrepant	123	2.06	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-203	Filtered	216	44.0	64.0	Complete and	27.0	0.250	I.V., C'14 1
WT-04-LQ-204	Unfiltered	286	92.0	141	Consistent	27.9	0.350	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-205	Filtered	242	51.0	74.0	Complete and	1.67	0.050	F'14 1
WT-05-LQ-206	Unfiltered	238	46.0	67.0	Consistent	1.67	0.058	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-239	Filtered	7,080	1,230	285	Consistent	2.00	0.048	Eilten d
WT-08-LQ-240	Unfiltered	6,940	815	261	Consistent	2.00	0.048	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-247	Filtered	3,910	698	220	Consistent	0.50	0.107	I I o Ciltana d
WT-06-LQ-248	Unfiltered	4,300	801	362	Consistent	9.50	0.187	Unfiltered
WT-02-LQ-277	Filtered	1,770	265	231	Complete and	1.12	0.024	I.V., C'14 1
WT-02-LQ-278	Unfiltered	1,790	340	239	Consistent	1.12	0.024	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-283	Filtered	1,900	319	380	Complete and	4.20	0.001	F'14 1
WT-01-LQ-284	Unfiltered	1,820	393	373	Consistent	4.30	0.081	Filtered
WT-03-LQ-285	Filtered	126	38.0	49.0	Diagram	(2) 5	0.906	Eilten d
WT-03-LQ-286	Unfiltered	66.0	0.00	34.0	Discrepant	62.5	0.806	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-17. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-02-LQ-019	Filtered	747	67.0	20.0	Commission	11.1	0.402	Unfiltered
WT-02-LQ-020	Unfiltered	835	62.0	13.0	Consistent	11.1	0.492	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-025	Filtered	711	90.0	84.0	Consistent	4.00	0.142	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-026	Unfiltered	740	52.0	18.0	Consistent	4.00	0.142	Ommered
WT-04-LQ-033	Filtered	172	19.0	15.0	Disamonant	28.4	0.975	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-034	Unfiltered	229	23.0	17.0	Discrepant	28.4	0.973	Ommered
WT-09-LQ-047	Filtered	247	26.0	18.0	Discounant	20.2	0.021	Unfiltanad
WT-09-LQ-048	Unfiltered	328	36.0	31.0	Discrepant	28.2	0.931	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-059	Unfiltered	77.0	12.0	14.0	Consistent	31.6	0.405	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-060	Filtered	56.0	18.0	24.0	Consistent	31.0	0.495	Unintered
WT-06-LQ-073	Filtered	1,100	107	113	Consistent	0.913	0.034	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-074	Unfiltered	1,090	105	107	Consistent	0.913	0.034	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-083	Filtered	411	33.0	26.0	Consistent	11.3	0.511	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-084	Unfiltered	367	29.0	17.0	Consistent	11.3	0.511	Filtered
WT-02-LQ-113	Filtered	913	68.0	14.0	Discounant	71.1	2.97	Unfiltered
WT-02-LQ-114	Unfiltered	1,920	159	116	Discrepant	/1.1	2.97	Unintered
WT-01-LQ-117	Filtered	1,120	87.0	40.0	Disamonant	196	6.50	Filtered
WT-01-LQ-118	Unfiltered	11.0	0.000	10.0	Discrepant	190	0.30	rinered
WT-06-LQ-147	Filtered	1,290	96.0	34.0	Consistent	3.95	0.194	Filtered
T-06-LQ-148	Unfiltered	1,240	90.0	25.0	Consistent	3.93	0.194	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-155	Filtered	1,520	109	34.0	Consistent	0.000	0.000	
WT-08-LQ-156	Unfiltered	1,520	103	54.0	Consistent	0.000	0.000	
WT-04-LQ-167	Filtered	392	46.0	32.0	Consistent	17.5	0.655	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-168	Unfiltered	467	36.0	13.0	Consistent	17.5	0.655	Unintered
WT-09-LQ-173	Filtered	238	24.0	17.0	Consistent	6.06	0.226	Filtered
WT-09-LQ-174	Unfiltered	222	25.0	21.0	Consistent	6.96	0.236	rmered

Rev.

Table I-17. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-05-LQ-199	Filtered	48.0	10.0	12.0	Diagrament	567	1.20	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-200	Unfiltered	86.0	11.0	12.0	Discrepant	56.7	1.30	Unintered
WT-04-LQ-203	Filtered	173	14.0	8.00	Discourant	27.4	0.070	II. 614 1
WT-04-LQ-204	Unfiltered	228	25.0	18.0	Discrepant	27.4	0.979	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-205	Filtered	78.0	10.0	9.00	P:	47.1	2.07	II C1. 1
WT-05-LQ-206	Unfiltered	126	12.0	8.00	Discrepant	47.1	3.07	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-239	Filtered	615	50.0	23.0	Constitution 4	1.20	0.061	II. 614 1
WT-08-LQ-240	Unfiltered	623	45.0	18.0	Consistent	1.29	0.061	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-247	Filtered	230	25.0	22.0	G it is	0.22	0.261	II C1. 1
WT-06-LQ-248	Unfiltered	250	30.0	42.0	Consistent	8.33	0.261	Unfiltered
WT-02-LQ-277	Filtered	962	83.0	20.0	G it is	4.07	0.102	II C1. 1
WT-02-LQ-278	Unfiltered	1,010	96.0	21.0	Consistent	4.87	0.193	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-283	Filtered	961	101	35.0	Constitute	2.267	0.000	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-284	Unfiltered	984	106	52.0	Consistent	2.367	0.080	

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-18. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result	Gross Alpha Error	Gross Alpha MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-09-LQ-045	Unfiltered	0.260	-0.560	-1.00	Disaranant	203	0.239	Unfiltered
WT-09-LQ-046	Filtered	-0.002	-0.004	-0.007	Discrepant	203	0.239	Unintered
WT-06-LQ-075	Unfiltered	13,700	1,800	1,420	D'acceptant	25.0	1.11	F'16 1
WT-06-LQ-076	Filtered	19,600	2,070	1,400	Discrepant	35.8	1.11	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-085	Filtered	22,800	1,470	595	P:	10.6	0.065	T2:1, 1
WT-08-LQ-086	Unfiltered	18,900	1,420	744	Discrepant	18.6	0.965	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-149	Filtered	13,300	1,820	2,020	Diagrament	60.4	2.48	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-150	Unfiltered	27,300	2,250	1,040	Discrepant	69.4	2.48	Unintered
WT-08-LQ-151	Filtered	8.25	1.16	0.874	D'anna ant	55.2	0.057	F14 1
WT-08-LQ-152	Unfiltered	4.68	1.51	1.95	Discrepant	55.3	0.957	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-153	Filtered	22,700	2,340	1,840	D'anna ant	20.1	1.64	F14 1
WT-08-LQ-154	Unfiltered	17,100	2,470	2,800	Discrepant	28.1	1.64	Filtered
WT-11-LQ-187	Filtered	5,520	1,490	1,830		45.0	0.460	T2'1, 1
WT-11-LQ-188	Unfiltered	3,460	1,680	2,510	Consistent	45.9	0.468	Filtered
WT-11-LQ-221	Filtered	4,160	1,460	1,950	G. i.e.	7.12	0.064	T2'1, 1
WT-11-LQ-222	Unfiltered	3,900	1,770	2,630	Consistent	7.13	0.064	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-237	Filtered	40,700	2,780	1,270		2.06	0.207	XX (**).
WT-08-LQ-238	Unfiltered	42,300	2,800	131	Consistent	3.86	0.207	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-245	Filtered	13,700	1,730	1,600	D'accessed	02.4	4.25	II. 614 1
WT-06-LQ-246	Unfiltered	37,600	2,290	879	Discrepant	93.4	4.25	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-19. Gross Beta Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-06-LQ-075	Unfiltered	3,770	667	924	Consistent	24.7	0.566	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-076	Filtered	4,840	692	917	Consistent	24.7	0.500	rinered
WT-08-LQ-085	Filtered	5,810	429	454	Diagrament	17.0	0.702	Eilten d
WT-08-LQ-086	Unfiltered	4,900	398	434	Discrepant	17.0	0.793	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-149	Filtered	3,340	655	940	D'account	C4.0	1.60	II. Cit 1
WT-06-LQ-150	Unfiltered	6,530	706	857	Discrepant	64.8	1.69	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-151	Filtered	1.98	0.671	1.02	Consistent	51.0	0.200	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-152	Unfiltered	1.13	1.24	2.01	Consistent	54.6	0.308	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-153	Filtered	4,570	66.6	876	G	2.70	0.225	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-154	Unfiltered	4,440	754	1,060	Consistent	3.79	0.225	Filtered
WT-11-LQ-187	Filtered	1,670	559	84	Consistent	16.0	0.150	Eiltean d
WT-11-LQ-188	Unfiltered	1,410	623	974	Consistent	16.9	0.159	Filtered
WT-05-LQ-197	Filtered	608	275	426	G	57.0	0.661	F14 1
WT-05-LQ-198	Unfiltered	335	308	500	Consistent	57.9	0.661	Filtered
WT-11-LQ-221	Filtered	1,730	575	871	Q. m. i. d. md	27.1	0.246	F14 1
WT-11-LQ-222	Unfiltered	1,320	633	998	Consistent	27.1	0.246	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-237	Filtered	12,100	826	849	Consistent	C 505	0.250	I I a Cilta na d
WT-08-LQ-238	Unfiltered	12,900	832	824	Consistent	6.595	0.358	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-245	Filtered	2,100	578	856	Disamont	142.027	<i>5.</i> 20 <i>C</i>	I I a Cilta na d
WT-06-LQ-246	Unfiltered	12,600	834	842	Discrepant	142.927	5.296	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

 $Table \ I-20. \ Ra-226 \ Filtered/Unfiltered \ Comparison-CWT \ Effluent$ 

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-02-LQ-021	Filtered	113	47.0	74.0	Consistent	55.4	0.493	Filtered
WT-02-LQ-022	Unfiltered	64.0	19.0	29.0	Consistent	55.4	0.493	Filtered
WT-01-LQ-023	Filtered	110	67.0	106	Consistent	<b>5</b> 61	0.036	Filtered
WT-01-LQ-024	Unfiltered	104	54.0	86.0	Consistent	5.61	0.036	Filtered
WT-03-LQ-029	Filtered	23.0	36.0	0.000	Consistent	90.5	0.397	Unfiltered
WT-03-LQ-030	Unfiltered	61.0	33.0	52.0	Consistent	90.5	0.397	Unilitered
WT-05-LQ-057	Unfiltered	357	100	151	Consistent	49.7	0.599	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-058	Filtered	215	68.0	103	Consistent	49.7	0.399	Unintered
WT-06-LQ-075	Unfiltered	12,100	1,060	980	Consistent	0.000	0.000	
WT-06-LQ-076	Filtered	12,100	1,250	1,130	Consistent	0.000	0.000	
WT-08-LQ-081	Filtered	84.0	311	48.0	Consistent	3.51	0.005	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-082	Unfiltered	87.0	23.0	34.0	Consistent	5.51	0.003	Unintered
WT-08-LQ-085	Filtered	12,700	903	426	Discounant	20.9	0.991	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-086	Unfiltered	10,300	844	577	Discrepant	20.9	0.991	rinered
WT-03-LQ-121	Filtered	91.0	42.0	65.0	Consistent	32.3	0.233	Unfiltered
WT-03-LQ-122	Unfiltered	126	64.0	101	Consistent	32.3	0.233	Offificied
WT-06-LQ-149	Filtered	11,200	1,020	602	Consistent	0.889	0.039	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-150	Unfiltered	11,300	839	463	Consistent	0.889	0.039	Offificied
WT-08-LQ-153	Filtered	14,900	1,180	693	Consistent	3.95	0.184	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-154	Unfiltered	15,500	1,170	803	Consistent	3.93	0.164	Offificied
WT-04-LQ-165	Filtered	104	60.0	95.0	Consistent	11.8	0.092	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-166	Unfiltered	117	40.0	63.0	Consistent	11.0	0.092	Offificied
WT-09-LQ-175	Filtered	503	206	324	Consistent	31.3	0.327	Filtered
WT-09-LQ-176	Unfiltered	367	52.0	70.0	Consistent	31.3	0.327	rinereu
WT-11-LQ-187	Filtered	1,700	209	264	Consistent	7.91	0.250	Unfiltered
WT-11-LQ-188	Unfiltered	1,840	194	227	Consistent	7.91	0.230	Omntered

Table I-20. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-05-LQ-207	Filtered	181	63.0	97.0	Consistent	28.0	0.310	I I o Cileana d
WT-05-LQ-208	Unfiltered	240	74.0	110	Consistent	28.0	0.310	Unfiltered
WT-11-LQ-221	Filtered	2,090	305	228	Diagrament	24.0	0.012	Eilten d
WT-11-LQ-222	Unfiltered	1,470	242	301	Discrepant	34.8	0.812	Filtered
WT-09-LQ-227	Filtered	273	54.0	68.0	Complete and	20.7	0.277	I.V., C'14 1
WT-09-LQ-228	Unfiltered	404	169	264	Consistent	38.7	0.377	Unfiltered
WT-08-LQ-237	Filtered	12,400	1,440	500	Complete and	2.20	0.007	I.V., C'14 1
WT-08-LQ-238	Unfiltered	12,700	22,240	383	Consistent	2.39	0.007	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-245	Filtered	8,360	1,490	533	Consistent	5.02	0.122	F'14 1
WT-06-LQ-246	Unfiltered	7,950	835	257	Consistent	5.03	0.122	Filtered
WT-02-LQ-279	Filtered	55.0	27.0	42.0	Complete and	<i>(5.0)</i>	0.624	I.V., C'14 1
WT-02-LQ-280	Unfiltered	108	33.0	48.0	Consistent	65.0	0.634	Unfiltered
WT-01-LQ-281	Filtered	287	87.0	116	Discount	06.2	0.052	F'14 1
WT-01-LQ-282	Unfiltered	114	56.0	83.0	Discrepant	86.3	0.853	Filtered
WT-03-LQ-287	Filtered	86.0	47.0	71.0	D'	102	2.54	II ("1, 1
WT-03-LQ-288	Unfiltered	362	62.0	57.0	Discrepant	123	3.54	Unfiltered
WT-10-LQ-291	Filtered	77.0	43.0	64.0	Quantitation 4	75.0	0.077	F'14 1
WT-10-LQ-292	Unfiltered	35.0	0.000	95.0	Consistent	75.0	0.977	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

DER denotes Duplicate Error Ratio (values less than 1.42 are potentially identical).

ND denotes a non-detected result (result less than MDC).

Table I-21. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-04-LQ-031	Filtered	37.0	5.00	5.00	Diagram	77.7	1.72	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-032	Unfiltered	84.0	13.0	14.0	Discrepant	77.7	1.72	Unilitered
WT-05-LQ-057	Unfiltered	133	19.0	18.0	Consistent	12.0	0.316	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-058	Filtered	118	15.0	13.0	Consistent	12.0	0.316	Unilitered
WT-06-LQ-075	Unfiltered	914	92.0	97.0	Consistent	4.18	0.134	Filtered
WT-06-LQ-076	Filtered	953	117	141	Consistent	4.18	0.134	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-085	Filtered	110	81.0	39.0	Diagram	157	2.02	I I o Cileo no d
WT-08-LQ-086	Unfiltered	912	66.0	48.0	Discrepant	157	3.92	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-149	Filtered	806	77.0	86.0	Consistent	7.10	0.214	Unfiltered
WT-06-LQ-150	Unfiltered	866	60.0	37.0	Consistent	7.18	0.314	Unilitered
WT-08-LQ-153	Filtered	1,300	90.0	55.0	Consistent	2.02	0.102	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-154	Unfiltered	1,250	106	80.0	Consistent	3.92	0.183	Filtered
WT-04-LQ-165	Filtered	94.0	15.0	14.0	Consistent	17.5	0.470	Unfiltered
WT-04-LQ-166	Unfiltered	112	12.0	12.0	Consistent	17.5	0.478	Unilitered
WT-09-LQ-175	Filtered	319	44.0	40.0	Diagram	32.8	1.91	Filtered
WT-09-LQ-176	Unfiltered	229	17.0	6.00	Discrepant	32.8	1.91	rinered
WT-11-LQ-187	Filtered	943	74.0	19.0	Consistent	2.41	0.220	Unfiltered
WT-11-LQ-188	Unfiltered	966	74.0	14.0	Consistent	2.41	0.220	Offificied
WT-05-LQ-207	Filtered	80.0	13.0	15.0	Consistent	13.9	0.308	Unfiltered
WT-05-LQ-208	Unfiltered	92.0	15.0	16.0	Consistent	13.9	0.308	Offificied
WT-11-LQ-221	Filtered	976	93.0	18.0	Consistent	11.0	0.490	Unfiltoned
WT-11-LQ-222	Unfiltered	1,100	90.0	53.0	Consistent	11.9	0.489	Unfiltered
WT-09-LQ-227	Filtered	164	13.0	6.00	Consistent	1.21	0.028	Unfiltered
WT-09-LQ-228	Unfiltered	166	34.0	40.0	Consistent	1.21	0.028	Offifficied
WT-08-LQ-237	Filtered	1,220	120	40.0	Consistent	1.65	0.065	Filtered
WT-08-LQ-238	Unfiltered	1,200	102	32.0	Consistent	1.03	0.003	rmered

Table I-21. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – CWT Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-06-LQ-245	Filtered	571	79.0	67.0	Consistent	0.70	0.279	Eilten d
WT-06-LQ-246	Unfiltered	523	39.0	21.0	Consistent	8.78	0.278	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-22. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result	Gross Alpha Error	Gross Alpha MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-24-LQ-003	Filtered	3,630	641	525	Consistent	0.358	0.007	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-004	Unfiltered	3,640	705	692	Consistent	0.338	0.007	Unilitered
WT-07-LQ-013	Filtered	2,290	313	216	Consistent	19.3	0.476	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-014	Unfiltered	1,890	296	260	Consistent	19.3	0.476	Filtered
WT-20-LQ-067	Unfiltered	16,200	1,940	1,210	Consistent	14.0	0.435	Filtered
WT-20-LQ-068	Filtered	18,700	2,100	1,340	Consistent	14.0	0.435	Filtered
WT-25-LQ-089	Unfiltered	9,270	1,480	1,200	Consistent	2.92	0.006	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-090	Filtered	8,920	1,440	1,280	Consistent	3.82	0.086	Unilitered
WT-24-LQ-099	Filtered	2,300	362	318	Diagrament	20.2	1.01	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-100	Unfiltered	3,380	416	266	Discrepant	38.3	1.01	Unintered
WT-22-LQ-103	Filtered	30,800	2,190	892	Diagrament	51.7	2.05	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-104	Unfiltered	52,400	3,000	1,170	Discrepant	51.7	2.95	Offificied
WT-07-LQ-107	Filtered	3,420	658	566	Consistent	2.02	0.038	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-108	Unfiltered	3,490	683	651	Consistent	2.02	0.036	Offificied
WT-21-LQ-125	Filtered	4,750	774	638	Discrepant	37.3	0.929	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-126	Unfiltered	6,920	912	719	Discrepant	37.3	0.929	Ommered
WT-25-LQ-129	Filtered	2,290	494	494	Consistent	23.7	0.351	Filtered
WT-25-LQ-130	Unfiltered	1,810	503	623	Consistent	23.7	0.551	rinered
WT-20-LQ-137	Filtered	59,400	4,014	3,080	Discrepant	18.9	1.04	Filtered
WT-20-LQ-138	Unfiltered	49,200	2,989	1,050	Discrepant	16.9	1.04	rinered
WT-23-LQ-181	Filtered	2,080	556	610	Consistent	46.5	0.576	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-182	Unfiltered	1,300	417	543	Consistent	40.3	0.570	rmered
WT-19-LQ-231	Filtered	4,770	450	233	Discrepant	52.3	1.70	Filtered
WT-19-LQ-232	Unfiltered	2,800	385	326	Discrepant	32.3	1.70	rmered
WT-25-LQ-233	Filtered	3,220	509	448	Consistent	32.4	0.640	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-234	Unfiltered	4,470	853	1,070	Consistent	32.4	0.040	Ommered

Table I-22. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result	Gross Alpha Error	Gross Alpha MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-20-LQ-249	Filtered	36,000	2,230	1,680	Discount	92.0	5 47	I I C:14 4
WT-20-LQ-250	Unfiltered	88,000	4,300	2,040	Discrepant	83.9	5.47	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-255	Filtered	497	180	221	Diagnament	76.9	1.11	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-256	Unfiltered	1,120	220	218	Discrepant	70.9	1.11	Offificied
WT-21-LQ-263	Filtered	17,100	1,770	1,190	Consistent	10.2	0.256	I I.a.Ciltana d
WT-21-LQ-264	Unfiltered	18,900	1,960	1,620	Consistent	10.3	0.356	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-271	Filtered	754	177	190	D'accessed	40.1	0.822	II. C1 1
WT-22-LQ-272	Unfiltered	1,240	247	280	Discrepant	49.1	0.822	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-275	Filtered	1,920	490	605	Consistent	0.64	0.117	Eiltene d
WT-07-LQ-276	Unfiltered	1,740	592	842	Consistent	9.64	0.117	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

 ${\bf Table~I-23.~Gross~Beta~Filtered/Unfiltered~Comparison-ZLD~Influent}$ 

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-24-LQ-003	Filtered	1,530	314	442		14.5	0.227	T2'1, 1
WT-24-LQ-004	Unfiltered	1,320	3.09	448	Consistent	14.5	0.337	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-013	Filtered	1,310	145	168	Diagram	02.1	2.26	Filton d
WT-07-LQ-014	Unfiltered	485	118	172	Discrepant	92.1	2.26	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-035	Filtered	425	137	209	Diagram	126	4.00	I I - C 14 4
WT-23-LQ-036	Unfiltered	2243.6	181	198	Discrepant	136	4.09	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-067	Unfiltered	6,060	746	938	Complete and	24.4	0.665	I I C'14 1
WT-20-LQ-068	Filtered	4,740	681	900	Consistent	24.4	0.665	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-089	Unfiltered	977	528	833	Comp. Set on t	5.72	0.020	F16 1
WT-25-LQ-090	Filtered	1,030	545	859	Consistent	5.72	0.039	Filtered
WT-24-LQ-099	Filtered	395	140	214	Discount	<i>(</i> 5.0)	0.041	I I C'14 1
WT-24-LQ-100	Unfiltered	782	156	219	Discrepant	65.8	0.941	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-103	Filtered	3,730	376	445	Discount	102	4.52	I I C'14 1
WT-22-LQ-104	Unfiltered	11,500	786	788	Discrepant	102	4.53	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-107	Filtered	893	281	421	Complete and	27.0	0.260	I I C'14 1
WT-07-LQ-108	Unfiltered	1180	298	436	Consistent	27.9	0.360	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-125	Filtered	1,340	296	425	Discount	56.4	1.10	I I C'14 1
WT-21-LQ-126	Unfiltered	2,400	349	465	Discrepant	56.4	1.18	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-129	Filtered	396	245	388	Complete and	16.2	0.000	I I C'14 1
WT-25-LQ-130	Unfiltered	466	260	410	Consistent	16.2	0.099	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-137	Filtered	10,700	918	1,080	Complete and	1.20	0.062	F'16 1
WT-20-LQ-138	Unfiltered	10,600	807	863	Consistent	1.39	0.062	Filtered
WT-18-LQ-141	Filtered	592	133	190	Compiletors	20.6	0.551	I I., £14 4
WT-18-LQ-142	Unfiltered	806	147	203	Consistent	30.6	0.551	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-181	Filtered	492	257	403	Consistent	0.22	0.050	I I., £14 1
WT-23-LQ-182	Unfiltered	535	262	410	Consistent	8.33	0.059	Unfiltered

Table I-23. Gross Beta Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-19-LQ-231	Filtered	1,860	185	219	Diagnosant	44.0	1.40	Eilten d
WT-19-LQ-232	Unfiltered	1,180	160	208	Discrepant	44.9	1.42	Filtered
WT-25-LQ-233	Filtered	1,320	284	405	Consistent	C 12	0.000	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-234	Unfiltered	1,400	326	481	Consistent	6.13	0.098	Unintered
WT-20-LQ-249	Filtered	7,680	516	559	Discount	101	6.70	I I C'14 1
WT-20-LQ-250	Unfiltered	23,400	1,060	874	Discrepant	101	6.78	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-275	Filtered	853	279	422	Consistent	20.0	0.269	F:14 4
WT-07-LQ-276	Unfiltered	638	298	470	Consistent	28.8	0.268	Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical). DER denotes Duplicate Error Ratio (values less than 1.42 are potentially identical).

Table I-24. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-24-LQ-003	Filtered	2,580	256	283	Consistent	4.55	0.183	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-004	Unfiltered	2,700	214	174	Consistent	4.33	0.165	Offifficied
WT-22-LQ-005	Filtered	106	35.0	55.0	- Discrepant	68.3	1.90	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-006	Unfiltered	216	46.0	66.0	Discrepant	06.3	1.90	Offifficied
WT-21-LQ-009	Filtered	2,580	289	301	Consistent	16.0	0.612	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-010	Unfiltered	3,030	239	192	Consistent	10.0	0.012	Unintered
WT-07-LQ-013	Filtered	1,390	180	235	Consistent	2.18	0.073	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-014	Unfiltered	1,360	110	87.0	Consistent	2.18	0.073	rintered
WT-23-LQ-035	Filtered	1,300	138	162	Consistant	1 55	0.024	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-036	Unfiltered	1,280	265	386	Consistent	1.55	0.034	rintered
WT-19-LQ-063	Unfiltered	1,470	329	495	Consistant	42.0	0.82	Unfiltered
WT-19-LQ-064	Filtered	950	542	873	Consistent	42.9	0.82	Unintered
WT-20-LQ-067	Unfiltered	13,600	1,140	972	Consistent	2.99	0.134	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-068	Filtered	13,200	1,010	632	Consistent	2.99	0.134	Unintered
WT-18-LQ-071	Unfiltered	1,310	262	361	Disagrament	130	1.91	Unfiltered
WT-18-LQ-072	Filtered	278	84.0	118	Discrepant	130	1.91	Unintered
WT-25-LQ-089	Unfiltered	6,870	561	477	Compietent	2.05	0.142	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-090	Filtered	6,650	554	489	Consistent	3.25	0.142	Unintered
WT-24-LQ-099	Filtered	1,920	325	433	Compietent	9.06	0.215	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-100	Unfiltered	2,100	278	342	Consistent	8.96	0.215	Unintered
WT-22-LQ-103	Filtered	16,300	1,650	1,040	Compietent	4.70	0.187	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-104	Unfiltered	17,100	1,430	1,205	Consistent	4.79	0.187	Unintered
WT-07-LQ-107	Filtered	1,930	168	159	Commission	10.0	0.607	I.I., C'14 1
WT-07-LQ-108	Unfiltered	2,330	240	263	Consistent	18.8	0.697	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-125	Filtered	3,360	340	360	Diagram	24.7	0.760	E:14
WT-21-LQ-126	Unfiltered	2,620	354	443	Discrepant	24.7	0.769	Filtered

PA DEP TENORM Study Report – Appendix I

Table I-24. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-25-LQ-129	Filtered	2,100	186	181	Discrement	29.5	1.00	Filtered
WT-25-LQ-130	Unfiltered	1,560	202	261	- Discrepant	29.3	1.00	rinered
WT-19-LQ-131	Filtered	131	44.0	67.0	Discrepant	196	5.22	Unfiltered
WT-19-LQ-132	Unfiltered	11,700	1,130	1,119	Discrepant	190	3.22	Offifficied
WT-20-LQ-137	Filtered	6,690	470	713	Disaranant	188	6.96	Filtered
WT-20-LQ-138	Unfiltered	210	70.0	105	- Discrepant	100	0.90	Fillered
WT-23-LQ-181	Filtered	564	106	150	Consistent	16.4	0.372	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-182	Unfiltered	665	89.0	117	Consistent	10.4	0.372	Offifficed
WT-19-LQ-231	Filtered	1,140	248	233	Consistent	33.6	0.691	Unfiltered
WT-19-LQ-232	Unfiltered	1,600	232	303	Consistent	33.0	0.091	Offifficied
WT-25-LQ-233	Filtered	903	201	196	Disaranant	72.5	1.22	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-234	Unfiltered	1,930	378	252	- Discrepant	12.3	1.22	Offifficied
WT-20-LQ-249	Filtered	18,400	1,450	769	Consistent	10.9	0.304	Filtered
WT-20-LQ-250	Unfiltered	16,500	2,840	518	Consistent	10.9	0.304	Fillered
WT-23-LQ-255	Filtered	226	60.0	71.0	Consistent	2.24	0.034	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-256	Unfiltered	221	45.0	56.0	Consistent	2.24	0.034	Fillered
WT-21-LQ-263	Filtered	6,190	806	602	Consistent	5.80	0.133	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-264	Unfiltered	6,560	1,170	526	Consistent	3.80	0.133	Offifficed
WT-24-LQ-267	Filtered	832	232	351	Consistent	27.3	0.343	Filtered
WT-24-LQ-268	Unfiltered	632	186	239	Consistent	21.3	0.343	rinered
WT-22-LQ-271	Filtered	590	111	132	Consistent	23.9	0.313	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-272	Unfiltered	750	236	307	Consistent	23.9	0.313	Ommered
WT-07-LQ-275	Filtered	1,410	203	138	- Discrepant	31.1	0.810	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-276	Unfiltered	1,030	127	105	Discrepant	31.1	0.810	rincieu

Notes: All results in units of pCi/L.

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

 ${\bf Table~I-25.~Ra-228~Filtered/Unfiltered~Comparison-ZLD~Influent}$ 

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-24-LQ-003	Filtered	332	38.0	28.0	D'	21.7	1.20	II C1. 1
WT-24-LQ-004	Unfiltered	457	31.0	13.0	Discrepant	31.7	1.30	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-005	Filtered	10.0	5.00	7.00	Compietent	22.2	0.625	I I - C 14 4
WT-22-LQ-006	Unfiltered	14.0	4.00	7.00	Consistent	33.3	0.625	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-009	Filtered	338	34.0	35.0	Discussed	22.7	0.004	I I - C 14 4
WT-21-LQ-010	Unfiltered	429	32.0	15.0	Discrepant	23.7	0.994	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-013	Filtered	203	23.0	22.0	Committee	0.02	0.260	F'16 1
WT-07-LQ-014	Unfiltered	184	14.0	7.00	Consistent	9.82	0.360	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-035	Filtered	413	32.0	11.0		5.65	0.210	XX C'1, 1
WT-23-LQ-036	Unfiltered	437	46.0	31.0	Consistent	5.65	0.219	Unfiltered
WT-19-LQ-063	Unfiltered	777	75.0	60.0	Committee	140	0.764	F'16 1
WT-19-LQ-064	Filtered	901	114	118	Consistent	14.8	0.764	Filtered
WT-20-LQ-067	Unfiltered	1,390	120	90.0	Committee	0.000	0.000	
WT-20-LQ-068	Filtered	1,390	111	61.0	Consistent	0.000	0.000	
WT-25-LQ-089	Unfiltered	628	48.0	37.0	Committee	4.07	0.210	F'16 1
WT-25-LQ-090	Filtered	660	61.0	51.0	Consistent	4.97	0.210	Filtered
WT-24-LQ-099	Filtered	153	40.0	55.0	Committee	16.0	0.202	I I C'14 1
WT-24-LQ-100	Unfiltered	181	31.0	39.0	Consistent	16.8	0.282	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-103	Filtered	847	95.0	116	Committee	C 10	0.220	I I . C'14 1
WT-22-LQ-104	Unfiltered	903	73.0	106	Consistent	6.40	0.238	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-107	Filtered	322	27.0	19.0	Committee	10.0	0.400	I I C'14 1
WT-07-LQ-108	Unfiltered	366	36.0	31.0	Consistent	12.8	0.499	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-125	Filtered	515	47.0	42.0	Consistent	20.1	0.616	D:14 1
WT-21-LQ-126	Unfiltered	421	62.0	71.0	Consistent	20.1	0.616	Filtered
WT-25-LQ-129	Filtered	181	18.0	19.0	Discussed	25.5	0.716	F:14
WT-25-LQ-130	Unfiltered	140	23.0	29.0	Discrepant	25.5	0.716	Filtered

Table I-25. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Influent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-19-LQ-131	Filtered	13.0	5.00	7.00	Disagrament	105	4.62	Unfiltered
WT-19-LQ-132	Unfiltered	1,000	109	118	Discrepant	195	4.02	Unilitered
WT-23-LQ-181	Filtered	94.0	16.0	17.0	Commission	1.06	0.026	II., C14 1
WT-23-LQ-182	Unfiltered	95.0	11.0	12.0	Consistent	1.06	0.026	Unfiltered
WT-19-LQ-231	Filtered	91.0	26.0	26.0	Complete and	11.6	0.120	F'14 1
WT-19-LQ-232	Unfiltered	81.0	26.0	37.0	Consistent	11.6	0.139	Filtered
WT-25-LQ-233	Filtered	127	20.0	22.0	Diagrament	44.2	1.00	I In Cileana d
WT-25-LQ-234	Unfiltered	199	27.0	28.0	Discrepant	44.2	1.09	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-249	Filtered	1,410	50.0	74.0	Consistent	7.35	0.440	Ellenn d
WT-20-LQ-250	Unfiltered	1,310	102	37.0	Consistent	7.33	0.449	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-255	Filtered	28.0	6.00	7.00	Diagrament	37.7	0.782	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-256	Unfiltered	41.0	6.00	7.00	Discrepant	31.1	0.782	Offificed
WT-21-LQ-263	Filtered	687	84.0	66.0	Consistent	F ((	0.166	I In Cileana d
WT-21-LQ-264	Unfiltered	727	90.0	75.0	Consistent	5.66	0.166	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-267	Filtered	380	42.0	40.0	Consistent	2.00	0.071	I In Cileana d
WT-24-LQ-268	Unfiltered	388	39.0	26.0	Consistent	2.08	0.071	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-271	Filtered	51.0	12.0	15.0	Consistent	17.0	0.147	Eilten d
WT-22-LQ-272	Unfiltered	43.0	25.0	38.0	Consistent	17.0	0.147	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-275	Filtered	203	25.0	19.0	NI/A	0.000	0.000	I In Cileana d
WT-07-LQ-276	Unfiltered	203	18.0	13.0	N/A	0.000	0.000	Unfiltered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-26. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result	Gross Alpha Error	Gross Alpha MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-24-LQ-001	Filtered	2,540	600	645	G. i.e.	7.04	0.122	XX ("1, 1
WT-24-LQ-002	Unfiltered	2,750	638	637	Consistent	7.94	0.122	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-011	Filtered	6,830	1,240	1,030	Consistent	20.9	0.270	Eilten d
WT-21-LQ-012	Unfiltered	5,540	1,270	1,260	Consistent	20.8	0.370	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-037	Unfiltered	1,570	501	705	Constitute of	5 57	0.141	F'16 1
WT-23-LQ-038	Filtered	1,660	393	409	Consistent	5.57	0.141	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-039	Unfiltered	4.94	2.19	2.92	Constitute of	2.20	0.20	F'16 1
WT-23-LQ-040	Filtered	5.05	1.84	2.14	Consistent	2.20	0.38	Filtered
WT-19-LQ-061	Unfiltered	-0.007	-0.084	-0.140	D:	221	0.200	P'1, 1
WT-19-LQ-062	Filtered	0.097	-0.107	-0.190	Discrepant	231	0.390	Filtered
WT-20-LQ-065	Unfiltered	14,500	1,810	1,380	Constitute of	20.0	0.565	I I C'14 1
WT-20-LQ-066	Filtered	11,800	1,680	1,400	Consistent	20.9	0.565	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-101	Filtered	3,660	434	289	Constitute of	10.2	0.606	I I C'14 1
WT-24-LQ-102	Unfiltered	4,440	492	346	Consistent	19.3	0.606	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-105	Filtered	5,0340	601	375	D'accessed	55.0	1.74	I I C'14 1
WT-22-LQ-106	Unfiltered	8,940	975	568	Discrepant	55.9	1.74	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-109	Filtered	2,330	657	738	Constitute of	1.05	0.027	I I C'14 1
WT-07-LQ-110	Unfiltered	2,370	548	522	Consistent	1.95	0.027	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-123	Filtered	10,900	1,530	1,073	D'accessed	20.2	1.12	I I C'14 1
WT-21-LQ-124	Unfiltered	16,000	1,785	1,060	Discrepant	38.3	1.12	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-135	Filtered	31,100	2,450	1,260	D'accessed	27.0	1.27	I I C'14 1
WT-20-LQ-136	Unfiltered	40,900	3,030	1,900	Discrepant	27.0	1.27	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-251	Filtered	14,400	1,570	980	Discourant	00.2	4.24	I I., £14 1
WT-20-LQ-252	Unfiltered	42,800	2,940	2,310	Discrepant	99.2	4.34	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-257	Filtered	23.6	4.97	5.08	Discourant	120	1.67	F:14
WT-23-LQ-258	Unfiltered	5.12	2.70	4.25	Discrepant	129	1.67	Filtered

Table I-26. Gross Alpha Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Alpha Result	Gross Alpha Error	Gross Alpha MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-23-LQ-259	Filtered	9,610	1,300	1,050	D'a annuant	32.0	0.057	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-260	Unfiltered	13,300	1,460	852	Discrepant		0.957	
WT-21-LQ-261	Filtered	10,200	1,870	2,330	Consistent	24.7	0.612	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-262	Unfiltered	13,100	1,500	975				
WT-22-LQ-269	Filtered	2,690	721	986	D'annuaut	62.1	1.28	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-270	Unfiltered	5,100	635	437	Discrepant			
WT-07-LQ-273	Filtered	21,400	2,060	1,304	D:	199	5.30	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-274	Unfiltered	33.6	3.27	2.60	Discrepant			

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

 ${\bf Table~I-27.~Gross~Beta~Filtered/Unfiltered~Comparison-ZLD~Effluent}$ 

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-22-LQ-007	Filtered	284	127	199	Consistent	12.6	0.112	I In Cilean d
WT-22-LQ-008	Unfiltered	322	116	460	Consistent	12.6	0.113	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-011	Filtered	2,160	576	845	Consistent	15.7	0.199	F21, 1
WT-21-LQ-012	Unfiltered	1,850	562	842	Consistent		0.199	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-015	Filtered	7,660	323	252	Disagrament	66.0	5.41	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-016	Unfiltered	3,820	632	861	Discrepant	66.9	5.41	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-037	Unfiltered	358	140	218	Diagrament	50.0	1.21	I In Cilean d
WT-23-LQ-038	Filtered	602	123	173	Discrepant	50.8	1.31	Unfiltered
WT-19-LQ-061	Unfiltered	92.6	10.3	12.6	Discrepant	37.1	0.927	Filtered
WT-19-LQ-062	Filtered	135	20.8	28.9				
WT-20-LQ-065	Unfiltered	3,540	604	823	Consistent	36.8	0.649	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-066	Filtered	2,440	620	911				
WT-24-LQ-101	Filtered	1,520	175	217	Consistent	15.6	0.470	Filtered
WT-24-LQ-102	Unfiltered	1,300	163	205				
WT-22-LQ-105	Filtered	1,530	189	238	G	C 01	0.104	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-106	Unfiltered	1,630	183	224	Consistent	6.01	0.184	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-109	Filtered	1,080	309	458	G	1.09	0.014	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-110	Unfiltered	1,060	307	456	Consistent			
WT-21-LQ-123	Filtered	2,650	603	864	D'a consent	70.4	1.60	I I C'14 1
WT-21-LQ-124	Unfiltered	5,530	695	879	Discrepant	70.4	1.60	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-135	Filtered	6,190	673	810	D'a consent	20.5	1.00	I I C'14 1
WT-20-LQ-136	Unfiltered	8,340	750	851	Discrepant	29.5	1.09	Unfiltered
WT-18-LQ-139	Filtered	435	149	229	Consistent	27.2	0.245	I I., £14 4
WT-18-LQ-140	Unfiltered	573	139	201		27.3	0.345	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-251	Filtered	4,110	634	851	Diagram	100	4.51	YY CIL
WT-20-LQ-252	Unfiltered	13,900	907	964	Discrepant	109	4.51	Unfiltered

Table I-27. Gross Beta Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Gross Beta Result	Gross Beta Error	Gross Beta MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-23-LQ-259	Filtered	3,210	594	824	Consistent	22.6	0.479	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-260	Unfiltered	4,030	643	867	Consistent		0.478	
WT-21-LQ-261	Filtered	2,890	665	980	Consistent	32.8	0.631	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-262	Unfiltered	4,020	631	850				
WT-22-LQ-269	Filtered	515	301	480	D:	04.0	0.000	XX C'1, 1
WT-22-LQ-270	Unfiltered	1,260	291	430	Discrepant	84.0	0.909	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-273	Filtered	8,700	769	876	D:	47.2	1.87	F'1 1
WT-07-LQ-274	Unfiltered	5,380	485	557	Discrepant			Filtered

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical). DER denotes Duplicate Error Ratio (values less than 1.42 are potentially identical).

Table I-28. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-24-LQ-001	Filtered	1,830	146	117	Committee	10.0	0.244	II. C14 1
WT-24-LQ-002	Unfiltered	2,040	275	364	Consistent	10.9	0.344	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-007	Filtered	418	99.0	137	Discussed	86.8	1.25	Filtered
WT-22-LQ-008	Unfiltered	165	29.0	40.0	Discrepant		1.25	Filtered
WT-21-LQ-011	Filtered	3,470	281	214	Compietent	0.20	0.226	I In Cilean d
WT-21-LQ-012	Unfiltered	3,770	359	388	Consistent	8.29	0.336	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-015	Filtered	5,510	631	747	Committee	0.264	0.014	F'16 1
WT-07-LQ-016	Unfiltered	5,490	406	257	Consistent	0.364	0.014	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-017	Filtered	7,750	926	1,039	Discrepant	21.6	0.721	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-018	Unfiltered	6,240	532	474				
WT-23-LQ-037	Unfiltered	531	102	148	Consistent	8.82	0.173	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-038	Filtered	580	102	143				
WT-24-LQ-101	Filtered	2,260	336	442	G	9.28	0.253	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-102	Unfiltered	2,480	290	353	Consistent			
WT-22-LQ-105	Filtered	3,280	375	444	Consistent	18.3	0.507	Filtered
WT-22-LQ-106	Unfiltered	2,730	283	324	Consistent		0.597	
WT-07-LQ-109	Filtered	1,630	238	323	Consistent	2.42	0.065	II. Class 1
WT-07-LQ-110	Unfiltered	1,670	203	255	Consistent	2.42	0.065	Unfiltered
WT-21-LQ-123	Filtered	5,050	423	364	Consistent	1 20	0.059	Unfiltand
WT-21-LQ-124	Unfiltered	5,120	433	365	Consistent	1.38	0.039	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-127	Filtered	163	47.0	72.0	Discussed	115	1.42	Unfiltered
WT-25-LQ-128	Unfiltered	601	149	228	Discrepant	115	1.43	Ommterea
WT-20-LQ-135	Filtered	12,500	925	482	P:	155	5.90	Filtono d
WT-20-LQ-136	Unfiltered	1,580	200	255	Discrepant	155	5.89	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-179	Filtered	587	134	199	Consistent	30.7	1.26	I In £14 4
WT-23-LQ-180	Unfiltered	800	82.0	91.0	Consistent	30.7	1.36	Unfiltered

₽ P

Table I-28. Ra-226 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-226 Result	Ra-226 Error	Ra-226 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-20-LQ-251	Filtered	11,100	1,290	305	Compietant	6.96	0.165	XX 6'1. 1
WT-20-LQ-252	Unfiltered	11,900	2,110	664	Consistent		0.165	Unfiltered
WT-18-LQ-253	Filtered	94.0	30.0	42.0	G	12.0	0.142	II. C1 1
WT-18-LQ-254	Unfiltered	106	31.0	43.0	Consistent		0.142	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-259	Filtered	2,540	280	151	Consistent	3.86	0.092	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-260	Unfiltered	2,640	476	177				
WT-21-LQ-261	Filtered	4,690	544	369		7.06	0.167	Filtered
WT-21-LQ-262	Unfiltered	4,370	812	411	Consistent			
WT-24-LQ-265	Filtered	292	129	200	G :	0.342	0.002	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-266	Unfiltered	293	103	141	Consistent		0.003	
WT-22-LQ-269	Filtered	2,310	383	366	G :	2.00	0.066	Filtered
WT-22-LQ-270	Unfiltered	2,240	379	387	Consistent	3.08	0.066	
WT-07-LQ-273	Filtered	8,810	1,560	364	Q. m. i. d. md	0.02	0.227	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-274	Unfiltered	8,050	687	535	Consistent	9.02		

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented.

MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).

Table I-29. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-24-LQ-001	Filtered	277	21.0	14.0	Comintent	2.02	0.100	F'16 1
WT-24-LQ-002	Unfiltered	269	31.0	31.0	Consistent	2.93	0.109	Filtered
WT-21-LQ-011	Filtered	503	39.0	17.0	Compietent	0.20	0.425	I In Ciltana d
WT-21-LQ-012	Unfiltered	552	44.0	30.0	Consistent	9.29	0.425	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-015	Filtered	849	86.0	83.0	Consistent	3.02	0.124	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-016	Unfiltered	875	63.0	20.0	Consistent	3.02	0.124	Unintered
WT-07-LQ-017	Filtered	1,480	140	140	Disgrament	17.6	0.731	Dilton d
WT-07-LQ-018	Unfiltered	1,240	92.0	36.0	Discrepant		0.731	Filtered
WT-23-LQ-037	Unfiltered	121	16.0	17.0	Consistent	8.62	0.225	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-038	Filtered	111	16.0	17.0	Consistent			
WT-20-LQ-065	Unfiltered	1,090	91.0	63.0	Consistent	0.000	0.000	
WT-20-LQ-066	Filtered	1,090	109	107	Consistent	0.000	0.000	
WT-24-LQ-101	Filtered	204	42.0	54.0	Discrepant	38.4	0.905	Unfiltered
WT-24-LQ-102	Unfiltered	301	35.0	36.0				
WT-22-LQ-105	Filtered	241	43.0	57.0	Consistent	3.67	0.093	Unfiltered
WT-22-LQ-106	Unfiltered	250	24.0	23.0	Consistent	3.07	0.093	Unintered
WT-07-LQ-109	Filtered	324	35.0	29.0	Consistent	1.87	0.068	Filtered
WT-07-LQ-110	Unfiltered	318	28.0	22.0	Consistent	1.87		
WT-21-LQ-123	Filtered	750	66.0	47.0	Consistent	4.56	0.190	Unfiltonod
WT-21-LQ-124	Unfiltered	785	67.0	43.0	Consistent	4.30	0.190	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-135	Filtered	941	65.0	40.0	Discounant	124	5.04	Filtered
WT-20-LQ-136	Unfiltered	221	33.0	43.0	Discrepant	124	3.04	rintered
WT-23-LQ-179	Filtered	96.0	16.0	18.0	G : t t	10.7	0.670	TT C1. 1
WT-23-LQ-180	Unfiltered	109	11.0	10.0	Consistent	12.7	0.670	Unfiltered
WT-20-LQ-251	Filtered	910	65.0	25.0	Consistent	5.42	0.024	F:1, 1
WT-20-LQ-252	Unfiltered	862	1,032	70.0	Consistent	5.42	0.024	Filtered

Table I-29. Ra-228 Filtered/Unfiltered Comparison – ZLD Effluent

Study ID	Sample Type	Ra-228 Result	Ra-228 Error	Ra-228 MDC	Results Consistent (Error Bars Overlap)?	RPD	DER	Highest Result Matrix?
WT-23-LQ-259	Filtered	280	22.0	13.0	Consistent	9.52	0.401	Unfiltered
WT-23-LQ-260	Unfiltered	308	28.0	15.0	Consistent			
WT-21-LQ-261	Filtered	725	63.0	46.0	Consistent	0.553	0.022	Filtered
WT-21-LQ-262	Unfiltered	721	66.0	36.0				
WT-24-LQ-265	Filtered	120	24.0	25.0	a .	16.2	0.312	Filtered
WT-24-LQ-266	Unfiltered	102	17.0	17.0	Consistent			
WT-22-LQ-269	Filtered	163	34.0	41.0	G	0.00	0.155	II. 614 1
WT-22-LQ-270	Unfiltered	178	36.0	45.0	Consistent	8.80	0.155	Unfiltered
WT-07-LQ-273	Filtered	1,740	145	29.0	G. J.	0.000	0.000	
WT-07-LQ-274	Unfiltered	1,740	45.0	47.0	Consistent	0.000		

Conclusion based on detected results only, by evaluating the four comparison metrics presented. MDC denotes Minimum Detectable Activity.

RPD denotes Relative Percent Difference (values less than 35% are potentially identical).